UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,435	08/23/2006	Paul Fraser	090931-380575	1436
27155 7590 04/01/2009 McCarthy Tetrault LLP			EXAMINER	
Box 48		BALLARD, KIMBERLY		
	Suite #4700 Toronto Dominion Bank Tower TORONTO, ON M5K 1E6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,435	FRASER, PAUL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimberly Ballard	1649
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	on requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a constant or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

The preliminary amendments filed August 23, 2006 and June 22, 2007 are of record. Claims 9, 10, 15, 16, 32, 40 and 47 have been amended and claims 5-8, 17-31, 36-39, 51-56 have been canceled as requested in the preliminary amendment filed August 23, 2006. Following the amendment, claims 1-4, 9-16, 32-35, 40-50, and 57-61 are pending in the present application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 9-16 and 61, drawn to an antifibrillogenic agent for inhibiting amyloidosis and/or for cytoprotection, comprising a truncated peptide of ANFLVH (SEQ ID NO: 11).

Group II, claim(s) 32-35 and 47-50, drawn to a method for the treatment of amyloidosis disorders in a patient, comprising administering *in vivo* to the patient a therapeutically effective amount of the antifibrillogenic agent of claim 1.

Group III, claim(s) 40-46, drawn to a process for the preparation of cells suitable for transplantation into a mammal, comprising contacting cells *in vitro* with the antifibrillogenic agent of claim 1, and cells prepared by said process.

Group IV, claim(s) 57-60, drawn to a method for identifying an optimized peptide for inhibition of amyloidosis.

Art Unit: 1649

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-IV is that they all relate to an antifibrillogenic agent comprising a peptide selected from the group consisting of penta-, tetra-, and tri-peptides of truncated ANFLVH (SEQ ID NO: 11). However, US Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0119926 A1 (published August 29, 2002) by Fraser teaches antifibrillogenic agents and compositions containing same, methods of using the antifibrillogenic agents, and compositions for inhibiting amyloid fibril formation (see abstract). One of the antifibrillogenic peptide agents taught by is the parent peptide, ANFLVH (see paragraph 0028). Because the instant claims recite the open ended term "comprising", the claimed peptide agents would be encompassed by Fraser, which describes a peptide comprising the penta-, tetra-, and tri-peptides of ANFLVH. Thus, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Page 4

Art Unit: 1649

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kimberly Ballard whose telephone number is 571-272-

2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker can be reached on 571-272-0911. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimberly Ballard, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1649

/Elizabeth C. Kemmerer/ Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646